SUICIDAL BEHAVIOUR DETECTION USING MACHINE LEARNING

**ABSTRACT:**

*Growing concern about mental health has led to the development of advanced strategies for early detection and intervention. In particular, experiencing suicide poses a significant challenge because of its complexity and widespread nature. This research paper presents a novel approach for suicide detection using machine learning techniques. The proposed model uses natural language processing and supports vector machine algorithms to analyze textual data and classify individuals as suicidal or non-suicidal. The model was trained on a data set from "Ram07/Detection-for-Suicide" containing a variety of text responses collected from individuals exhibiting suicidal tendencies. The data are thoroughly preprocessed to remove noise and irrelevant information, followed by feature extraction using the TF-IDF vector. The support vector machine classifier is trained on extracted features to train patterns representing suicidal behavior. The performance of the model is evaluated using basic statistical methods, including accuracy and confusion matrix analysis. The results showed the effectiveness of the proposed method for accurately identifying individuals at risk of suicide. The proposed model offers a promising tool for mental health professionals and providers to recognize and intervene with suicidal ideation early. The research supports ongoing efforts to leverage machine learning and natural language processing for mental health monitoring and support, leading to improved suicide prevention interventions and more comprehensive public health outcomes forward.*

**INTRODUCTION**:

In contemporary society, there's a growing concern about mental health issues like anxiety and depression. This concern is particularly pronounced in developed nations and emerging markets. Without proper treatment, severe mental disorders can lead to suicidal thoughts or attempts. The proliferation of negative content online has given rise to problematic behaviours such as cyberstalking and cyberbullying. This dissemination of harmful information often results in social cruelty, fuelling rumours and causing mental harm. Studies have established a correlation between cyberbullying and suicide. Individuals subjected to excessive negative stimuli may experience depression and despair, with some tragically resorting to suicide.

The reasons behind suicide are multifaceted. While individuals with depression are at a high risk, even those without depression may experience suicidal thoughts. The American Foundation for Suicide Prevention categorizes suicide factors into health, environmental, and historical factors. Mental health issues and substance abuse have been identified as significant contributors to suicide risk. Psychological research by O'Connor and Nock outlines various risk factors including personality traits, cognitive factors, social influences, and negative life events.

Detection of suicidal ideation (SID) involves assessing whether an individual exhibits thought of suicide, using data such as personal information or written text. With the rise of social media and online anonymity, more people are turning to the internet to express their emotions and distress, making online platforms a potential tool for surveillance and prevention of suicidal behavior. However, concerning trends like online communities endorsing self-harm or copycat suicides, as seen in phenomena like the "Blue Whale Game," highlight the urgency of addressing suicide as a critical social issue. It's crucial to detect and prevent suicidality before individuals reach the point of attempting suicide. Early identification and intervention are key to preventing tragedies. Potential victims may express suicidal thoughts through fleeting thoughts, plans, or role-playing, and SID aims to identify these risks before they escalate. While studies suggest limitations in using suicidal ideation as a

screening tool, it remains a valuable indicator of psychological distress. Effective detection of early signs of suicidal ideation can facilitate intervention by social workers to address individuals' mental health challenges. Ultimately, the complexity of suicide underscores the need for a comprehensive approach that considers various contributing factors.

To identify suicidal ideation, several researchers conducted psychometric and clinical assessments to categorize questionnaire responses. Social media data, artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning techniques have been used to predict the likelihood of individuals committing suicide, enabling early intervention Importance Mobile technologies have also been used for suicide prevention, such as the iBobbly application developed by the Black Dog Institute, and other tools such as Samaritans Radar, Woebot, which integrates with social networking services -Context and ethical implications a it's in false prophecies there The use of AI to solve social issues, including suicide prevention, requires careful ethical and privacy considerations. Despite the advances, there is a need for comprehensive standards to train and test attentional self-concept models, and to improve the interpretation of neural models This study presents self-identification methods a a comprehensive overview of suicide ideation will be provided from a machine learning perspective, including their applications and challenges in the direction of the Sector are also organized to be discussed.

# DATASET DETAILS:


The dataset is borrowed from Kaggle. This is a compiled dataset pulled from four other datasets linked by time and place from year 1985 to 2016. The source of those datasets is WHO, World Bank, UNDP and a dataset published in Kaggle.

The details of the dataset are:

* Number of Instances: 27820
* Number of Attributes: 12

The below table defines attributes in the dataset:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **No.** | **Attribute Name** | **Description** |
| 1 | Country | Name of country |
| 2 | Year | Year of the incident: 1985 to 2016 |
| 3 | Sex | Gender: male or female |
| 4 | Age | Range of age in years |
| 5 | Suicides\_no | Number of incidents |
| 6 | Population | Corresponding population of the country |
| 7 | Country-year | Combination of country and year |
| 8 | HDI for year | Human development index (HDI) for year |
| 9 | GDP | GDP of the country (for the year) |
| 10 | GDP | GDP per **capita** of the country (for year) |
| 11 | Generation | generation of the person |
| 12 | Suicides (per 100k population) | Number of suicides for 100k population |



# METHODOLOGY: -

The methodology used in this paper outlines the process by which an effective suicide detection system was developed. The key to this approach is the selection and acquisition of appropriate information. This study collected datasets including text from various sources such as social media platforms, online forums, and mental health support groups and performed rigorous preprocessing procedures to ensure the consistency and relevance of the collected data. This includes text normalization techniques such as tokenization, stopword removal, and stemming to standardize textual content across sources.

Data collection sought to identify appropriate forums and venues where individuals could disclose their thoughts, feelings and experiences related to mental health and suicidal ideation Data collection methods were modified to capture diversity of perspectives and contexts, spanning multiple demographics, cultures and languages Ethical considerations were central to all aspects of data collection, ensuring confidentiality, anonymity and respect user privacy

After data collection, the next stage of the process involved extensive preprocessing of the obtained transcripts. Text normalization techniques were used to convert the raw text into a standardized format suitable for analysis. Tokenization, the process of parsing information into individual words or tokens, facilitated the extraction of meaningful linguistic units. Stopword removal eliminated frequent words that did not carry important semantic information, and reduced words were grouped as their bases or roots to increase coherence and reduce dimensionality.

Choosing an appropriate machine learning algorithm was an important part of the learning process. After a careful evaluation of classification algorithms, the Support Vector Machine (SVM) classifier was selected for its robust performance in high-dimensional features and handling nonlinear decision boundaries where the SVM algorithm is best suited for texture classification work, as a pattern of complexity and relationships in textual data effectively would have been able to recognize.

In training the SVM classifier, methods such as TF-IDF (Term Frequency-Inverse Document Frequency) vectorization were used to convert the pre-processed text data into mathematical feature vectors of the data into a structure that can be incorporated into the SVM model for training. The hyperparameters of the SVM classifier were tuned using methods such as grid search or random search to improve the performance of the models.

Once the model was trained, it was rigorously evaluated for performance and generalizability. Analytical parameters such as accuracy, precision, recall, and F1 scores were calculated to assess the ability of the model to correctly classify suicidal and non-suicidal cases Cross-validation procedures were used to ensure that the model was reproducible reliable and robust across data types and conditions.

After the model was trained, its performance and overall quality were thoroughly evaluated. Analytical parameters such as accuracy, precision, recall, and F1 scores were calculated to assess how well the model was able to classify suicidal and non-suicidal cases to ensure that the model was reproducible, feasible reliable, and robust across all data types through developed cross-validation methods and conditions.

Ethical considerations were paramount throughout the research process, with a focus on ensuring the responsible use of data and the protection of individuals' privacy and confidentiality. Measures were taken to anonymize and de-identify the data to minimize the risk of re-identification and unauthorized access.

Overall, the methodology outlined in this research paper represents a systematic and rigorous approach to developing a suicide detection system. By combining careful data collection, preprocessing, model selection, training, and evaluation, the methodology ensures the reliability, validity, and ethical integrity of the research findings.





Fig: the working of the detection program Fig: The working inside the model training

process

|  |
| --- |
| **Algorithm: Suicide Behaviour Detection Model** |
| 1. *Load necessary libraries:*    1. *Preload NLTK data.* 2. *Load dataset:*    1. *Check if dataset exists.*    2. *If dataset exists:*       1. *Load dataset.*    3. *Else:*       1. *Load dataset from* ***hugging face****.*       2. *Convert dataset to DataFrame.*       3. *Save DataFrame as a file*    4. **Load that data from the file'.** 3. *Data Preprocessing:*    1. *Preprocess text data.* 4. *Split data into train and test sets:*    1. *Split data into train and test sets.* 5. *Model Training:*    1. *Check if saved model exists.*    2. *If saved model exists:*       1. *Load saved model.*    3. *Else:*       1. *Train Support Vector Machine (SVM) classifier.*       2. *Save trained model* 6. *Evaluate Model:*    1. *Run Evaluation Function to evaluate model accuracy ( on test set).* 7. *User Input Processing and Prediction:*    1. *Accept user input.*    2. *Preprocess user input.*    3. *Generate prediction scores.*    4. *Convert prediction back to original labels.*    5. *Output prediction result and scores.* 8. *Main Function:*    1. *Call Load necessary libraries.*    2. *Call Load dataset.*    3. *Call Data preprocessing.*    4. *Call Split data.*    5. *Call Model training.*    6. *Call Evaluate model.*    7. *Start user interaction loop.* 9. *Exit:*    1. ***Exit the program.*** |

REFERENCE

1. S. Hinduja and J. W. Patchin, “Bullying,

cyberbullying, and suicide,” Arch. Suicide Res., vol. 14, no. 3, pp. 206–221, Jul. 2010.

1. J. Joo, S. Hwang, and J. J. Gallo, “Death ideation and suicidal ideation

in a community sample who do not meet criteria for major depression,”

Crisis, vol. 37, no. 2, pp. 161–165, Mar. 2016.

1. M. J. Vioules, B. Moulahi, J. Aze, and S. Bringay, “Detection of

suicide-related posts in Twitter data streams,” IBM J. Res. Develop.,

vol. 62, no. 1, pp. 7:1–7:12, Jan. 2018.

1. A. J. Ferrari et al., “The burden attributable to mental and substance

use disorders as risk factors for suicide: Findings from the global

burden of disease study 2010,” PLoS ONE, vol. 9, no. 4, Apr. 2014,

Art. no. e91936.

1. R. C. O’Connor and M. K. Nock, “The psychology of suicidal

behaviour,” Lancet Psychiatry, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 73–85,

2014.

1. J. Lopez-Castroman et al., “Mining social networks to improve suicide

prevention: A scoping review,” J. Neurosci. Res., vol. 98, no. 4,

pp. 616–625, Apr. 2020.

1. C. M. McHugh, A. Corderoy, C. J. Ryan, I. B. Hickie, and

M. M. Large, “Association between suicidal ideation and suicide: Metaanalyses of odds ratios, sensitivity, specificity and positive predictive

value,” BJPsych Open, vol. 5, no. 2, Mar. 2019.

1. G. Kassen, A. Kudaibergenova, A. Mukasheva, D. Yertargynkyzy, and

K. Moldassan, “Behavioral risk factors for suicide among adolescent schoolchildren,” Elementary Educ. Online, vol. 19, pp. 66–77,

Jan. 2020.

1. V. Venek, S. Scherer, L.-P. Morency, A. S. Rizzo, and

J. Pestian,

“Adolescent suicidal risk assessment in clinician- patient interaction,”

IEEE Trans. Affect. Comput., vol. 8, no. 2, pp. 204–215, Apr. 2017.

1. D. Delgado-Gomez, H. Blasco-Fontecilla, A. A. Alegria,

T. Legido-Gil, A. Artes-Rodriguez, and E. Baca-Garcia, “Improving

the accuracy of suicide attempter classification,” Artif. Intell. Med.,

vol. 52, no. 3, pp. 165–168, Jul. 2011.

1. G. Liu, C. Wang, K. Peng, H. Huang, Y. Li, and W. Cheng, “SocInf:

Membership inference attacks on social media health data with machine

learning,” IEEE Trans. Comput. Social Syst., vol. 6, no. 5, pp. 907–921,

Oct. 2019.

1. B. O’Dea, S. Wan, P. J. Batterham, A. L. Calear, C. Paris, and

H. Christensen, “Detecting suicidality on Twitter,” Internet Intervent.,

vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 183–188, May 2015.

1. H.-C. Shing, S. Nair, A. Zirikly, M. Friedenberg, H. Daumé, III, and

P. Resnik, “Expert, crowdsourced, and machine assessment of suicide

risk via online postings,” in Proc. 5th Workshop Comput. Linguistics

Clin. Psychol., Keyboard Clinic, 2018, pp. 25–36.

1. F. Ren, X. Kang, and C. Quan, “Examining accumulated emotional

traits in suicide blogs with an emotion topic model,” IEEE J. Biomed.

Health Informat., vol. 20, no. 5, pp. 1384–1396, Sep.

2016.

1. L. Yue, W. Chen, X. Li, W. Zuo, and M. Yin, “A survey of sentiment analysis in social media,” Knowl. Inf. Syst., vol. 60, pp. 1–47,

Aug. 2018.

1. A. Benton, M. Mitchell, and D. Hovy, “Multi-task learning for mental

health using social media text,” in in Proc. EACL. Stroudsburg, PA,

USA: Association for Computational Linguistics, 2017, pp. 152–162.

1. S. Ji, C. P. Yu, S.-F. Fung, S. Pan, and G. Long, “Supervised learning

for suicidal ideation detection in online user content,” Complexity,

vol. 2018, pp. 1–10, Sep. 2018.

1. S. Ji, G. Long, S. Pan, T. Zhu, J. Jiang, and S. Wang, “Detecting

suicidal ideation with data protection in online communities,” in

Proc. 24th Int. Conf. Database Syst. Adv. Appl. (DASFAA). Cham,

Switzerland: Springer, 2019, pp. 225–229.

1. J. Tighe, F. Shand, R. Ridani, A. Mackinnon, N. De La Mata, and

H. Christensen, “Ibobbly mobile health intervention for suicide prevention in australian indigenous youth: A

pilot randomised controlled

trial,” BMJ Open, vol. 7, no. 1, Jan. 2017, Art. no. e013518.

1. N. N. Gomes de Andrade, D. Pawson, D. Muriello,

L. Donahue, and

J. Guadagno, “Ethics and artificial intelligence: Suicide prevention

on Facebook,” Philosophy Technol., vol. 31, no. 4, pp. 669–684,

Dec. 2018.

1. L. C. McKernan, E. W. Clayton, and C. G. Walsh, “Protecting life

while preserving liberty: Ethical recommendations for suicide prevention with artificial intelligence,” Frontiers Psychiatry, vol. 9, p. 650,

Dec. 2018.

1. K. P. Linthicum, K. M. Schafer, and J. D. Ribeiro, “Machine learning

in suicide science: Applications and ethics,” Behav. Sci. Law, vol. 37,

no. 3, pp. 214–222, May 2019.

1. S. Scherer, J. Pestian, and L.-P. Morency, “Investigating the speech

characteristics of suicidal adolescents,” in Proc. IEEE Int. Conf.

Acoust., Speech Signal Process., May 2013, pp. 709– 713.

1. D. Sikander et al., “Predicting risk of suicide using resting state heart

rate,” in Proc. Asia–Pacific Signal Inf. Process. Assoc. Annu. Summit

Conf. (APSIPA), Dec. 2016, pp. 1–4.

1. M. A. Just et al., “Machine learning of neural representations of suicide

and emotion concepts identifies suicidal youth,” Nature Hum. Behav.,

vol. 1, no. 12, pp. 911–919, 2017.

1. N. Jiang, Y. Wang, L. Sun, Y. Song, and H. Sun, “An ERP study of

implicit emotion processing in depressed suicide attempters,” in Proc.

7th Int. Conf. Inf. Technol. Med. Educ. (ITME), Nov. 2015, pp. 37–40.

1. M. Lotito and E. Cook, “A review of suicide risk assessment instruments and approaches,” Mental Health Clinician, vol. 5, no. 5,

pp. 216–223, Sep. 2015.

1. Z. Tan, X. Liu, X. Liu, Q. Cheng, and T. Zhu, “Designing microblog

direct messages to engage social media users with suicide ideation:

Interview and survey study on weibo,” J. Med. Internet Res., vol. 19,

no. 12, p. e381, Dec. 2017.

1. Y.-P. Huang, T. Goh, and C. L. Liew, “Hunting suicide notes in Web

2.0–preliminary findings,” in Proc. 9th IEEE Int. Symp. Multimedia

Workshops (ISMW), Dec. 2007, pp. 517–521.

1. K. D. Varathan and N. Talib, “Suicide detection system based on

Twitter,” in Proc. Sci. Inf. Conf., Aug. 2014, pp. 785– 788.

1. J. Jashinsky et al., “Tracking suicide risk factors through Twitter in the

US,” Crisis, vol. 35, no. 1, pp. 51–59, Jan. 2014.

1. J. F. Gunn and D. Lester, “Twitter postings and suicide: An analysis

of the postings of a fatal suicide in the 24 hours prior to death,”

Suicidologi, vol. 17, no. 3, pp. 28–30, Jun. 2015.

1. G. Coppersmith, R. Leary, E. Whyne, and T. Wood, “Quantifying

suicidal ideation via language usage on social media,” in Proc. Joint

Statist. Meetings Stat. Comput. Sect. (JSM), 2015, pp. 1–15.

1. G. B. Colombo, P. Burnap, A. Hodorog, and J.

Scourfield, “Analysing

the connectivity and communication of suicidal users on Twitter,”

Comput. Commun., vol. 73, pp. 291–300, Jan. 2016.

1. G. Coppersmith, K. Ngo, R. Leary, and A. Wood, “Exploratory analysis

of social media prior to a suicide attempt,” in Proc. 3rd Workshop

Comput. Lingusitics Clin. Psychol., 2016, pp. 106–117.

1. P. Solano et al., “A Google-based approach for monitoring suicide risk,”

Psychiatry Res., vol. 246, pp. 581–586, Dec. 2016.

1. H. Y. Huang and M. Bashir, “Online community and suicide prevention:

Investigating the linguistic cues and reply bias,” in Proc. CHI Conf.

Hum. Factors Comput. Syst., 2016, pp. 1–5.

1. M. De Choudhury and E. Kıcıman, “The language of social support

in social media and its effect on suicidal ideation risk,” in Proc. 11th

Int. AAAI Conf. Web Social Media, 2017, p. 32.

1. M. E. Larsen et al., “The use of technology in suicide prevention,”

in Proc. 37th Annu. Int. Conf. IEEE Eng. Med. Biol. Soc. (EMBC),

Aug. 2015, pp. 7316–7319.

1. N. Masuda, I. Kurahashi, and H. Onari, “Suicide ideation of individuals

in online social networks,” PLoS ONE, vol. 8, no. 4, Apr. 2013,

Art. no. e62262.

1. S. Chattopadhyay, “A study on suicidal risk analysis,” in Proc. 9th Int.

Conf. e-Health Netw., Appl. Services, Jun. 2007, pp. 74–78.

1. D. Delgado-Gomez, H. Blasco-Fontecilla, F. Sukno,

M. Socorro

Ramos-Plasencia, and E. Baca-Garcia, “Suicide

attempters classification: Toward predictive models of suicidal behavior,” Neurocomputing,

vol. 92, pp. 3–8, Sep. 2012.

1. S. Chattopadhyay, “A mathematical model of suicidal-intent-estimation

in adults,” Amer. J. Biomed. Eng., vol. 2, no. 6, pp. 251– 262, Jan. 2013.

1. W. Wang, L. Chen, M. Tan, S. Wang, and A. P.

Sheth, “Discovering

fine-grained sentiment in suicide notes,” Biomed. Informat. Insights,

vol. 5, no. 1, p. 137, 2012.

1. A. Abboute, Y. Boudjeriou, G. Entringer, J. Azé, S. Bringay, and

P. Poncelet, “Mining Twitter for suicide prevention,” in Proc. Int. Conf.

Appl. Natural Lang. Data Bases/Inf. Syst. Cham, Switzerland: Springer,

2014, pp. 250–253.

1. E. Okhapkina, V. Okhapkin, and O. Kazarin, “Adaptation of information retrieval methods for identifying of destructive informational

influence in social networks,” in Proc. 31st Int. Conf. Adv. Inf. Netw.

Appl. Workshops (WAINA), Mar. 2017, pp. 87–92.

1. M. Mulholland and J. Quinn, “Suicidal tendencies: The automatic

classification of suicidal and non-suicidal lyricists using NLP,” in Proc.

IJCNLP, 2013, pp. 680–684.

1. X. Huang, L. Zhang, D. Chiu, T. Liu, X. Li, and T. Zhu, “Detecting

suicidal ideation in chinese microblogs with psychological lexicons,”

in Proc. IEEE 11th Int. Conf. Ubiquitous Intell. Comput. Autonomic

Trusted Comput. IEEE 14th Int. Conf. Scalable Comput. Commun.

Associated Workshops, Dec. 2014, pp. 844–849.